

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.             | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|-----------------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/433,586                  | 11/04/1999    | ROGER GUY MARKHAM    | 103245                  | 2748             |  |
| 75                          | 90 04/06/2004 |                      | EXAM                    | INER             |  |
| OLIFF & BERRIDGE PLC        |               |                      | RAHIMI, IRAJ A          |                  |  |
| P.O. BOX 1992<br>ALEXANDRIA | =             |                      | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER   |                  |  |
|                             |               |                      | 2622                    | 15               |  |
|                             |               |                      | DATE MAILED: 04/06/2004 | 1 (3             |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   |   |  | 1201  |
|---|---|--|---|
| Supplimentar  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |   |
| Advisory Action   | 09/433,586  | MARKHAM, ROGER   | GUY   |
| ,   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |   |
|   | (Iraj) Alan Rahimi  | 2622   |   |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap   | pears on the cover sheet with the   | correspondence addre   | 9SS   |
| THE REPLY FILED 30 Decmber 2003 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apple Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.  | avoid abandonment of this appli<br>(1) a timely filed amendment wh  | cation. A proper replication of the categories in the categories and categories are categories.  | ly to a<br>ation in                                       |
| PERIOD FOR F  | REPLY [check either a) or b)]   |  |   |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1, tension and the corresponding amount of the datatutory period for reply originally set in | of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. Se 136(a) and the appropriate efee. The appropriate extent the final Office action; or (2) | ee MPEP extension fee ension fee under 2) as set forth in |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellar 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C   |   |  | ·   |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered  | because:  |  |   |
| (a) \( \square\) they raise new issues that would require fur   | ther consideration and/or search  | (see NOTE below);  |   |
| (b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note   | e below);   |  |   |
| <ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the applicatio<br/>issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>  | n in better form for appeal by ma   | terially reducing or si  | mplifying the   |
| (d) they present additional claims without cand NOTE:   | celing a corresponding number of  | finally rejected claim   | S.  |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rej   | ection(s):  |  |   |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).   | ald be allowable if submitted in a  | separate, timely filed.  | amendment   |
| 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request application in condition for allowance because:  |   | sidered but does NO  | T place the   |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered by raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  | pecause it is not directed SOLELY   | to issues which were   | e newly   |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims  |   |  | ind an  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow  | <b>/</b> S:   |  |   |
| Claim(s) allowed:   |   |  |   |
| Claim(s) objected to: 20 and 21.  |   |  |   |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19</u> .  |   |  |   |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |   |  |   |
| 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ a  | pproved or b) $\square$ disapproved by  | the Examiner.  |   |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Staten  | nent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).   | <del></del> - 1  |   |
| 10. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>  | SUPERVIS  | DOWARD COLES<br>SORY PATENT EXAMINE<br>OLOGY CENTER 2600   | ΞR  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 10. Other: Double patenting rejection is withdrawn as initially reported in paper #9. .

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXCHINE TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600